

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| 09/692,668 | 10/20/2000 | Takao Mukai | 36856.366 | 7526 | |
| | 590 03/07/2002 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Keating & Bennett LLP 10400 Eaton Place, Suite 312 Fairfax, VA 22030 | | | GONZALEZ | GONZALEZ, JULIO C | |
| rairiax, VA 2 | 2030 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2834 | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/692,668 | MUKAI ET AL. | | | | |
| , tariot, y ritarion | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Julio C. Gonzalez | 2834 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three materials are the property of the control of the shortened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See I 36(a) and the appropriate e e fee. The appropriate exten the final Office action; or (2) | e MPEP extension fee nsion fee under) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | l be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed a | amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NOT | 「place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were | newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | nd an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disap | proved by the Examir | ner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | NESTOR RAMIF SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTE | EXAMINER | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/692,668



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the filter been of a longitudinally type coupled to a resonator filter, two interdigital transducers, the depth of the step been in a certain range and the step extending from one pair of substrate edges to an inner edge of the step located from one of the pair of substrate edges limitations requires more search and consideration.